



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/864,217	05/25/2001	Satoshi Okada	0717-0468P	2724
2292	7590 05/25/2004	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH & BII	RAHMJOO, MANUCHER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111220 01101	22010 0717		2676	. 11
		DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/86	4,217	OKADA ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Mike I	Rahmjoo	2676				
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply a If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	ATE OF THIS COMMUN by be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this common specified above is less than thirty (3 is specified above, the maximum stathe set or extended period for reply	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nunication. io) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply are will, by statute, cause the	T TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH o event, however, may a reply be tile e statutory minimum of thirty (30) day nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a application to become ABANDONE is communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive	e to communication(s) file	ed on <u>29 <i>April 200</i></u>	<u>4</u> .					
2a)☐ This action	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
,								
closed in a	ccordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Clain	าร							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	8,11,13 and 17 is/are pe	nding in the applic	ation.					
4a) Of the a	bove claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from	consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11,13 and 17</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restric	ction and/or election	on requirement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
· —	- ' '		r b) ☐ objected to by the					
			(s) be held in abeyance. Se					
•	• ,,		•	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)∐ The oath or	declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner	. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ All b)⊡ 1.⊠ Certi 2.⊡ Certi 3.⊡ Copi	Some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority fied copies of the priority	documents have documents have of the priority doc	been received in Applicat uments have been receiv					
• •		•	ertified copies not receiv	ed.				
ood the atta	Shou dotallou ombo dotto	711101 0 1101 01 1110 0						
Attachment(s)	OIL 14DTO 0000		0 □	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of Reference Notice of Draftspers 	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

'Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1- 8, 11,13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1 line 12 on page 118 recites"...assigns each of bits...". It is not clear which bits are "each of bits".

As per claim 1 line 16 on page 118 recites"...bits located in the vicinity of the bit...". It is not clear what is meant by "bits located in the vicinity of the bit". Is it the neighboring bits of the grouping of the bits?

As per claim 1 line 19 on page 118 recites"...a basic portion of...". It is not clear what "a basic portion" is and how a portion of any graphics can make up a basic portion.

As per claim 1 line 16 on page 118 recites"...continuity of bits...". It is not clear how bits can assume the property of "continuity".

As per claim 4 line 9 on page 119 recites"...one of at least one color element...". It is not clear what is meant by "one color element". Is it a color component of a pixel such as red, green, or blue of a pixel sub- component?

As per claim 4 line 12 on page 119 recites"...stepwise through...". Is stepwise the same as successive order such as in RGB per pixel or different?

'Art Unit: 2676

Claim 1 recites the limitation "...the bit map data..." in line 13 on page 118. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "...to the one..." in line 17 on page 118. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 11,13, and 17 have similar rejections.

Further clarification of the above and other possible claims I respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1- 8, 11,13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lui et al (US Patent 6,396,505),hereinafter, Lui.

As per claims 1, 11, and 17 Lui teaches a display device including a plurality of sub- pixels in pixel groupings see for example figures 6-7 and column 9 lines 52-58; and a control section for controlling the display device see for example column 7 lines 25-32, wherein the plurality of

Art Unit: 2676

sub-pixels form a plurality of groups, each of the plurality of groups includes a predetermned plural number of sub-pixels (RGB pixel sub- component) see for example column 7 lines 21- 25 and column 9 lines 52- 58; and Lui inherently teaches the control section assigns each of bits included in the bit map data to one of the plurality of groups (see for example figure 6 wherein separate image samples represented by grid 620 are used to generate the red, green and blue intensity values corresponding to bitmap image 630) and displays the graphic by controlling sub-pixels included in the one of the plurality of groups based on information about bits located in the vicinity of the bit assigned to the one of the plurality of groups see for example figures 7a- b, the abstract, claim 19 and also column 15 lines 8- 20 (generation of RGB intensity values associated with corresponding portions of the bitmap image based on distance).

As per claim 2 Lui teaches the control section defines a basic portion of the graphic to be displayed on the display device based on the information about the bits located in the vicinity of the bit assigned to the one of the plurality of groups (generation of pixel sub- components red, green, and blue intensity values associated with corresponding portions of the bitmap image based on distance) see for example column 15 lines 8- 20 and column 16 lines 21- 28(sub- pixels controlled by same size portion corresponding to a basic portion of the graphic).

As per claim 3 Lui teaches the control section controls the sub-pixels included in the one of the plurality of groups based on information about continuity of the bits located in the vicinity of the bit corresponding to the one of the plurality of groups (generation of RGB intensity values associated with corresponding portions of the bitmap image based on distance in successive rows and columns of pixels) see for example column 15 lines 8- 20 and figure 6.

As per claims 4 and 13 Lui teaches one of at least one color element is pre-assigned to each of the plurality of sub-pixels, and the intensity of each of the at least one color element is represented

Art Unit: 2676

stepwise through a plurality of color element levels; each of the plurality of sub-pixels has one of the plurality of color element levels see for example see for figure 6 and the color components red, green, and blue in row and column order; and reading from a storage device (storage devices of figure 5) basic portion of data which defines a basic portion of the character (figures 10- 14) on a sub- pixel by sub- pixel basis see for example the abstract, claim 19 and also column 15 lines 8- 20; and the control section sets a color element level of at least one particular sub-pixel corresponding to a basic portion of the graphic to be displayed on the display device to a maximum or semi-maximum color element level, and sets a color element level of at least one sub-pixel adjacent to the at least one particular sub-pixel corresponding to the basic portion of the graphic to a color element level different from the maximum or semi-maximum color element level (mapping portions of the scaled hinted image into corresponding pixel sub- components to form a bitmap image also performed for gray scaled sub- pixels with intensity values between 0- 255 and 128 respectively corresponding to minimum, maximum and semi- maximum intensity levels)see for example column18 lines 1- 23.

As per claim 5 Lui teaches the control section adjusts a width of a line of the graphic to be displayed on the display device by controlling the number of sub-pixels corresponding to the basic portion of the graphic see for example column 10 lines 4-8.

As per claim 6 Lui teaches the control section adjusts a width of a line of the graphic to be displayed on the display device by controlling the color element level of a sub-pixel adjacent to the at least one particular sub-pixel corresponding to the basic portion of the graphic see for example column 10 lines 35- 40 wherein information on scaling (as per column 10 lines 4- 8)is applied during rendering and or color information.

As per claim 7 Lui teaches each of the plurality of sub-pixels is controlled by converting the color element level to a brightness level according to a predetermined table see for example column 30 lines 4- 11; and the control section generates the predetermined table according to a characteristic

'Art Unit: 2676

of the display device see for example column 29 lines 65- 67 and column 30 lines 1-4.

As per claim 8 Lui teaches the control section (operating system 535 of figure 5) compares a characteristic of a reference display device and the characteristic of the display device to generate the predetermined table according to a difference in the characteristics see for example column 29 lines 6- 67 and column 30 lines 1- 10 wherein look up table 539 is accessed as a reference to generate a processed set of values corresponding to a predetermined table.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure; US Patents 5,873,022, 5,329,599, 6,118,452, 5,278,950, 6,535,221, and 5,572,638. Art Unit: 2676

Page 6

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Rahmjoo whose telephone number is (703) 305- 5658. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30- 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (703) 308-6829. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305- 4750.

Mike Rahmjoo

May 20, 2004

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Marker (Bella

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLATED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.